

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q67781

Satoshi SHINADA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/025,821

Group Art Unit: 2853

Confirmation No.: 4266

Examiner: Leonard S. Liang

Filed: December 26, 2001

For: INK CARTRIDGE FOR INK-JET RECORDING APPARATUS

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on

October 22, 2003:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was attached with the Advisory

Action dated October 29, 2003.

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
2. Identification of claims discussed: None
3. Identification of art discussed: None
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: None
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: None
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: Number 7 on Advisory Action of

October 10, 2003, improperly checked.



#15/ Ltr Re:
St. of Sub of
Interview
Answer
12/8/03

RECEIVED
DEC - 4 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW
U. S. Application No. 10/025,821

7. Results of Interview: Examiner will provide new Advisory Action.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Grant K. Rowan
Registration No. 41,278


SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: November 26, 2003



Interview Summary

Application No.

10/025,821

Applicant(s)

SHINADA ET AL.

Examiner

Leonard S Liang

Art Unit

2853

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leonard S Liang.

(3)_____.

(2) Stuart Lee.

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant brought to the examiner's attention that #7 on the Advisory Action PTOL-303 form was improperly checked. As a result, the examiner is sending out a revised advisory action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Leonard Liang

Examiner's signature, if required

RECEIVED
DEC - 4 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800